



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/750,446 | 12/31/2003 | Samuel Steinemann | 1409-2 RCE/CON | 1771 |

7590

07/25/2005

Daniel A. Scola Jr.
Hoffmann & Baron, LLP
6900 Jericho Turnpike
Syosset,, NY 11791

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

MORILLO, JANEL COMBS

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1742

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/750,446 | Applicant(s) STEINEMANN, SAMUEL | |
| | Examiner Janelle Combs-Morillo | Art Unit 1742 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/445,675.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03082004</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

cl

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 11-15, 17-19, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 1,305,879 (GB'879).

GB'879 teaches a titanium alloy suitable for medical implants, wherein said alloy consists of 15% Zr balance Ti (see table on page 2). Additionally, GB'879 teaches alloys with 25-75% Zr, wherein 25% Zr is a close approximation of the presently claimed "less than 25%" (page 2 lines 47-50). GB'879 teaches that up to 2% O₂ is typically present in "technically-pure titanium" and furthermore applicable to the alloys taught by GB'879, wherein said oxygen is present as an impurity or rigidity increasing addition (page 2 lines 75-79), which overlaps the presently claimed range of oxygen. Overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, see MPEP § 2144.05. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in the prior art, because the prior art finds that said composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility.

Concerning independent claim 11 (and dependent claims 12 and 19), GB'879 does not mention making said alloy by the presently claim process steps. With regard to the instant product by process limitations, it is well settled that a product-by-process claim defines a

Art Unit: 1742

product, and that when the prior art discloses a product substantially the same as that being claimed, differing only in the manner by which it is made, the burden falls to applicant to show that any process steps associated therewith result in a product materially different from that disclosed in the prior art. See MPEP 2113, *In re Brown* (173 USPQ 685) and *In re Fessman* (180 USPQ 524) *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Because applicant has not shown that the Ti-Zr alloy product taught by GB'879 is materially different than the presently claimed product by process, it is held that GB'879 has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

Concerning claims 13, 14, and 21, as stated above, GB'879 teaches a titanium alloy suitable for medical implants, wherein said alloy consists of 15% Zr balance Ti (see table on page 2), which overlaps the presently claimed alloying ranges.

Concerning claims 15, 17, and 18, GB'879 teaches said Ti-Zr alloy is suitable for surgical implants such as dental implants (column 2 lines 80-86).

3. Claims 11-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB'879 in view of Chem. Ab. no. 103239 (hereinafter CA'239) and Davidson (US 5,169,597 A).

GB'879 is discussed above.

Concerning the process steps, GB'879 teaches that said Ti-Zr alloy is hot worked (such as forging, page 3 lines 6-7), annealed, and optionally cold worked (see page 2 Table and line 44). GB'879 does not mention a) the hot forging temperature range, or b) rapidly cooling after hot forging.

Concerning item a), CA'239 teaches that Ti-Zr alloys can be hot forged in the α as well as the $\alpha+\beta$ regions. Because CA'239 teaches a typical hot forging temperature range, and

Art Unit: 1742

because CA'239 and GB'879 are both drawn to Zr containing Ti alloys, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to hot forge the Ti-Zr medical implant alloy in the α as well as the $\alpha+\beta$ regions (as taught by CA'239) while performing the process as taught by GB'879 of hot forging, annealing, and optionally cold rolling.

Concerning item b), GB'879 does not mention rapidly cooling after hot forging. However, Davidson teaches that rapid cooling after hot working Ti alloys achieves a finer grain size (column 5 lines 21-25, rather than slow cooling) and provides adequate strength (column 3 lines 66-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to rapidly cool after hot working the alloy of GB'879, because Davidson teaches that a finer grain size can be achieved, rendering it more suitable for use as an implant material.

Conclusion


4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janelle Combs-Morillo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1240. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am- 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jcm
July 12, 2005


GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1700